(27) (28)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Misc. Appeal No.763/2005

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri **S.R.Nayak**, Chief Justice &
Hon'ble Shri **D.R.Deshmukh**, J.

APPELLANT Defendant No.15	:		The Oriental Insurance Company Ltd., Ambikapur, Surguja, through its Divisional Manager, Divisional Office, Bilaspur (C.G.)
			Versus
RESPONDENT Claimant No.1	:	1.	Smt. Indravati Devi, 65 years, Wd/o Late Mangeram Agarwal, Occupation - Housewife.
RESPONDENT Claimant No.2	:	2.	Smt. Shakuntala Devi, 45 years, Wd/o Late Shri Vijay Agarwal, Occupation-Housewife.
RESPONDENT Claimant No.3	:	3.	Shri Sunil Kumar 27 years, S/o Late Shri Vijay Agarwal, Occupation-Business.
RESPONDENT Claimant No.4	:	4.	Shri Pawan Kumar, 20 years, S/o Late Shri Vijay Agrawal.
RESPONDENT Claimant No.5	:	5.	Ku. Parul Agarwal, 18 years, D/o Late Shri Vijay Agarwal, Occupation-Student.
			All R/o Main Road, In front of Cooperative Banck, Raijpur. District-Surguja (C.G.)
RESPONDENT Defendants No.1 to 13	:	6.	Shri Laxmi Narayan, 40 years, S/o Late Shri Shyam Sunder.
	:	7.	Shri Mitthu, 40 years, S/o Late Shri Shyam Sunder.
	:	8.	Shri Raju, 30 years, S/o Late Shri Shyam Sunder.
	:	9.	Shri Anil, 28 years, S/o Late Shri Shyam Sunder.
	:	10.	Shri Ravi @ Rabu, 25 years, S/o Late Shyam Sunder.
	:	11.	Smt. Prema Bai, 60 years, Wd/o Late Shri Shyam Sunder.
	:	12.	Smt. Sushila Devi, 42 years, Wd/o Late Shri Mahavir.
	:	13.	Ku. Rinki Agarwal, 19 years, D/o Late Shri Mahavir.

: 14. Ku. Geeta Agarwal, 17 years, D/o Late Shri Mahavir.
: 15. Ku. Pooja Agarwal, 15 years, D/o Late Shri Mahavir.
: 16. Ku. Nilam Agarwal, 13 years, D/o Late Shri Mahavir.
: 17. Ku. Radha Agarwal, 11 years, D/o Late Shri Mahavir Agarwal.
: 18. Shri Sachin, 7 years, S/o Late Shri Mahavir Agarwal.

Respondents No.14 to 18 are minor, Through natural guardian and mother Respondent No.12 Sushila Devi. Respondents No.6 to 18 all are R/o Rajpur, P.S. & Tahsil - Rajpur, District Surguja (C.G.).

RESPONDENT
Defendant No.14 : 19. Shri Dhansai, 40 years, S/o Shri Devnath Gond, Occupation-Driver, R/o village-Budha Baghicha, P.S. & Tahsil-Rajpur, District-Surguja (C.G.)

None appears for the appellant

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 24.1.2006)

The following oral order of the Court was passed by S.R.NAYAK, C.J.

1. The appeal was called out twice for motion hearing. On both the occasions, none appeared nor any representation was made on behalf of the appellant.

2. In the circumstance, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/-
Chief Justice

Sd/-
DILIP RAOSAHEB DESHMUKH
Judge

Ajay